

On Aronson's upper bounds for heat kernels

Richard F. Bass¹

Abstract. Let \mathcal{L} be a uniformly elliptic operator in divergence form on \mathbb{R}^d and let $p(t, x, y)$ be the fundamental solution to the heat equation for \mathcal{L} . A new proof is given of Aronson's upper bound: $p(t, x, y) \leq c_1 t^{-d/2} \exp(-c_2 |x - y|^2/t)$.

Let \mathcal{L} be the elliptic operator on \mathbb{R}^d in divergence form given by

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right) (x).$$

We assume the operator is uniformly elliptic: there exists $\Lambda > 0$ such that

$$\Lambda \sum_{i=1}^d y_i^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(x) y_i y_j \leq \Lambda^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^d y_i^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (y_1, \dots, y_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (1)$$

One of the most important facts concerning such operators is Aronson's bounds: if $p(t, x, y)$ is the fundamental solution to the heat equation $\partial u/\partial t = \mathcal{L}u$ in \mathbb{R}^d , then there exist constants c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 such that

$$p(t, x, y) \leq c_1 t^{-d/2} \exp(-c_2 |x - y|^2/t), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t > 0, \quad (2)$$

and

$$c_3 t^{-d/2} \exp(-c_4 |x - y|^2/t) \leq p(t, x, y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t > 0. \quad (3)$$

Aronson's proof [1] relies on Moser's Harnack inequality. Another proof was given by Fabes-Stroock [5] based on a method developed by Davies. A global upper bound on $p(t, x, y)$ of the form

$$p(t, x, y) \leq c_1 t^{-d/2}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t > 0, \quad (4)$$

is not hard; the proof can be found in [4, Sect. VII.4], [5], or [7]. Once one has the upper bound (2), the lower bound (3) can then be established; see [5]. The bounds (2) and (3) together imply Moser's parabolic Harnack inequality; again, see [5].

Subject classifications: 35J15, 60J60

¹ Research partially supported by NSF Grant DMS 9988496.

By far the hardest part of Aronson's bounds is proving (2) when $|x - y|^2 > t$. The purpose of this paper is to give a simpler proof of this part of the upper bound. We base our proof on an idea in Nash' paper [7] (a different idea, however, than the one referred to in the title of [5]), together with simplifications of some techniques used in [2] and [3].

We will assume the a_{ij} satisfy (1). We will also assume for convenience that they are smooth, but none of our estimates will depend on the smoothness; the case of non-smooth a_{ij} will follow from our result by an easy limit argument. We will also assume for simplicity that the coefficients of \mathcal{L} do not depend on t ; only cosmetic changes are needed to include this case in the proof.

If X_t is the diffusion associated to the elliptic operator \mathcal{L} with coefficients $a_{ij}(x)$ (see [4, Chap. VII]), then rX_{t/r^2} will be the diffusion associated to the elliptic operator with coefficients $a_{ij}(rx)$, which satisfy the same boundedness and ellipticity bounds as $a_{ij}(x)$; we refer to this property as scaling.

Under the assumption that the a_{ij} are smooth, the diffusion X_t associated with \mathcal{L} can be written

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s) dW_s + \int_0^t b(X_s) ds,$$

where W_t is a d -dimensional Brownian motion, the first integral on the right is an Itô stochastic integral, σ is a bounded positive definite square root of a , and the i th component of b is $\sum_{j=1}^d \partial a_{ji} / \partial x_j$; see [4, Section I.2]. It is easy to deduce from this (cf. the proof of Theorem I.3.5 in [4]) that for each x_0 and each t

$$\mathbb{E}^{x_0} \sup_{s \leq t} |X_s - x_0|^2 < \infty. \tag{5}$$

The letter c with subscripts will denote finite positive constants whose exact values are unimportant.

Our first theorem is the second main result of [7]. Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$M(t) = \int |y - x_0| p(t, x_0, y) dy, \quad Q(t) = - \int p(t, x_0, y) \log p(t, x_0, y) dy.$$

Since $M(t) = \mathbb{E}^{x_0} |X_t - x_0|$, then $M(t)$ is finite by (5). The finiteness of $Q(t)$ will follow from (6) and (7) below.

Theorem 1. *There exists c_1 not depending on x_0 or t such that $M(t) \leq c_1 t^{1/2}$.*

Proof. First, using (4) and the fact that $\int p(t, x_0, y) dy = 1$, we have

$$Q(t) \geq -c_2 + \frac{1}{2} d \log t. \tag{6}$$

Second, note $\inf_s(s \log s + \lambda s) = -e^{-\lambda-1}$. Using this with $\lambda = a|y - x_0| + b$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -Q(t) + aM(t) + b &= \int [p(t, x_0, y) \log p(t, x_0, y) + (a|y - x_0| + b)p(t, x_0, y)] dy \\ &\geq -e^{-b-1} \int e^{-a|y-x_0|} dy = -c_3 e^{-b} a^{-d}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $a = d/M(t)$ and $e^{-b} = (e/c_3)a^d$, after some algebra we obtain

$$M(t) \geq c_4 e^{Q(t)/d}. \quad (7)$$

Third, we differentiate $Q(t)$. Since the a_{ij} are smooth and (1) holds, it is well known ([6]) that $p(t, x_0, y)$ is strictly positive and is C^∞ in each variable on $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and that $p(t, x_0, y)$ and its first and second partial derivatives have exponential decay at infinity. Performing the differentiation,

$$\begin{aligned} Q'(t) &= - \int (1 + \log p(t, x_0, y)) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} p(t, x_0, y) dy \\ &= - \int (1 + \log p(t, x_0, y)) \mathcal{L}p(t, x_0, y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Gauss-Green formula on the ball of radius K about 0, letting $K \rightarrow \infty$, and using the exponential decay of $p(t, x_0, y)$ and its first and second partial derivatives, the above expression is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \nabla(\log p(t, x_0, y)) \cdot a \nabla p(t, x_0, y) dy \\ &= \int [\nabla(\log p(t, x_0, y)) \cdot a \nabla(\log p(t, x_0, y))] p(t, x_0, y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz and the uniform ellipticity bounds,

$$Q'(t) \geq c_5 \left(\int |\nabla \log p(t, x_0, y)| p(t, x_0, y) dy \right)^2 = c_5 \left(\int |\nabla p(t, x_0, y)| dy \right)^2.$$

Set $r(y) = |y - x_0|$. As $|\nabla r| \leq 1$, we have similarly that $M'(t) = - \int \nabla r \cdot a \nabla p(t, x_0, y) dy$, and so

$$|M'(t)| \leq \int |a \cdot \nabla p(t, x_0, y)| dy.$$

(Because r is not differentiable at x_0 , to establish this we approximate r by smooth functions and use a simple limit argument.) We thus conclude

$$Q'(t) \geq c_6 (M'(t))^2. \quad (8)$$

By the continuity of X_t , (5), and dominated convergence,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} M(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}^{x_0} |X_t - x_0| = 0,$$

so

$$c_4 e^{Q(t)/d} \leq M(t) \leq c_7 \int_0^t (Q'(s))^{1/2} ds. \quad (9)$$

Finally, define $R(t) = d^{-1}[Q(t) + c_2 - \frac{d}{2} \log t]$, and observe from (6) that $R(t) \geq 0$.

Then

$$Q'(t) = dR'(t) + d/(2t).$$

Using (9) and the inequality $(a+b)^{1/2} \leq a^{1/2} + b/(2a^{1/2})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_8 t^{1/2} e^{R(t)} &\leq M(t) \leq c_9 d^{1/2} \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{2s} + R'(s) \right)^{1/2} ds \\ &\leq c_{10} \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{2s} \right)^{1/2} ds + c_{10} \int_0^t \left(\frac{s}{2} \right)^{1/2} R'(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

By integration by parts and the fact that $R \geq 0$, this is less than

$$c_{11} (2t)^{1/2} + c_{11} R(t) \left(\frac{t}{2} \right)^{1/2},$$

which leads to

$$c_8 e^{R(t)} \leq M(t)/t^{1/2} \leq c_{12} (1 + R(t)).$$

The inequality $c_8 e^{R(t)} \leq c_{12} (1 + R(t))$ implies that $R(t)$ is bounded, and the result follows.

□

Theorem 2. *There exist c_1 and c_2 such that (2) holds for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t > 0$.*

Proof. First, if $S_\lambda = \inf\{t : |X_t - X_0| \geq \lambda\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^x(\sup_{s \leq t} |X_s - X_0| \geq \lambda) &\leq \mathbb{P}^x(S_\lambda \leq t, |X_t - X_0| \geq \lambda/2) + \mathbb{P}^x(S_\lambda \leq t, |X_t - X_0| \leq \lambda/2) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}^x(|X_t - X_0| \geq \lambda/2) + \int_0^t \mathbb{P}^x(|X_t - X_s| \geq \lambda/2, S_\lambda \in ds). \end{aligned}$$

By Chebyshev's inequality and Theorem 1, the first term on the right hand side is bounded by

$$\frac{2\mathbb{E}^x |X_t - X_0|}{\lambda} \leq \frac{2M(t)}{\lambda} \leq \frac{c_3 t^{1/2}}{\lambda}.$$

By the strong Markov property, the second term is bounded by

$$\int_0^t \mathbb{E}^x [\mathbb{P}^{X_s}(|X_{t-s} - X_0| \geq \lambda/2); S_\lambda \in ds] \leq \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_0^t M(t-s) \mathbb{P}^x(S_\lambda \in ds) \leq \frac{c_3 t^{1/2}}{\lambda}.$$

Adding,

$$\mathbb{P}^x(\sup_{s \leq t} |X_s - X_0| \geq \lambda) \leq \frac{2c_3 t^{1/2}}{\lambda}. \quad (10)$$

Second, let $D > 0$, let $n = \lceil aD^2 \rceil$, and let $b > 0$, where a, b will be chosen in a moment. By (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^x e^{-nS_{D/n}} &\leq 1 \cdot \mathbb{P}^x(S_{D/n} < b/n) + e^{-n(b/n)} \mathbb{P}^x(S_{D/n} > b/n) \\ &= (1 - e^{-b}) \mathbb{P}^x(S_{D/n} < b/n) + e^{-b} \\ &\leq (1 - e^{-b}) \frac{2c_3(b/n)^{1/2}}{D/n} + e^{-b} \\ &\leq e^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

if we first choose b large and then a small (a, b can be chosen independently of x, D and n). Let $T_0 = 0$ and define inductively $T_{i+1} = T_i + S_{D/n} \circ \theta_{T_i}$, where the θ_t are the usual shift operators for Markov processes; this means that T_i is the i th time that X_t moves a distance D/n . By the strong Markov property

$$\mathbb{E}^x e^{-nT_m} = \mathbb{E}^x [e^{-nT_{m-1}}; \mathbb{E}^{X_{T_{m-1}}} e^{-nS_{D/n}}] \leq e^{-2} \mathbb{E}^x e^{-nT_{m-1}},$$

so by induction

$$\mathbb{E}^x e^{-nT_n} \leq e^{-2n}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^x(\sup_{s \leq 1} |X_s - X_0| \geq D) &\leq \mathbb{P}^x(T_n \leq 1) = \mathbb{P}^x(e^{-nT_n} \geq e^{-n}) \\ &\leq e^n \mathbb{E}^x e^{-nT_n} \leq e^n e^{-2n} = e^{-n} \leq e^{-c_4 D^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Third, let $A = \{z : |z - x| > |z - y|\}$. By (11) with $D = |x - y|/2$ and (4)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A p(1, x, z) p(1, z, y) dz &\leq c_5 \int_A p(1, x, z) dz = c_5 \mathbb{P}^x(X_1 \in A) \\ &\leq c_5 \mathbb{P}^x(|X_1 - x| \geq |x - y|/2) \leq c_5 e^{-c_6 |x - y|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\int_{A^c} p(1, x, z) p(1, z, y) dz \leq c_5 \mathbb{P}^y(X_1 \in A^c) \leq c_5 \mathbb{P}^y(|X_1 - y| \geq |x - y|/2) \leq c_5 e^{-c_6 |x - y|^2}.$$

Adding and using the semigroup property,

$$p(2, x, y) = \int p(1, x, z) p(1, z, y) dz \leq 2c_5 e^{-c_6 |x - y|^2}.$$

The theorem now follows by scaling. □

References.

1. D.G. Aronson, ‘Bounds on the fundamental solution of a parabolic equation’, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 73 (1967) 890–896.
2. M. T. Barlow and R. F. Bass, ‘The construction of Brownian motion on the Sierpinski carpet’, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré* 25 (1989) 225–257.
3. M.T. Barlow and R.F. Bass, ‘Transition densities for Brownian motion on the Sierpinski carpet’, *Probab. Th. Rel. Fields* 91 (1992) 307–330.
4. R.F. Bass, *Diffusions and Elliptic Operators* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997).
5. E.B. Fabes and D.W. Stroock, ‘A new proof of Moser’s parabolic Harnack inequality via the old ideas of Nash’, *Arch. Mech. Rat. Anal.* 96 (1986) 327–338.
6. A. Friedman, *Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Type*. (Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1964).
7. J. Nash, ‘Continuity of solutions of parabolic and elliptic equations’, *Amer. Math. J.* 80 (1958) 931–954.

Department of Mathematics
University of Connecticut
Storrs, CT 06269
U.S.A.
bass@math.uconn.edu